

Appln. No. :09/751,489
Amdt. Dated July 20, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated May 31, 2005

Remarks

Reconsideration of this Application is requested.

The Examiner has indicated that claim 21 would be allowable is rewritten to overcome the objection, i.e. in line 21 of claim 21 "wide" should be - - wider - -. All of the limitations of claim 21 were incorporated into its independent claim 11 including changing the term "wide" to - - wider - -.

Claims 12-17 and 22 depend on claim 11 or an intervening claim. Thus, claim 12-17 and 22 should be allowable.

In view of the above claims 11-17 as amended and claim 22 are patentable. If the Examiner has any questions, would he please telephone the undersigned at the telephone number noted below.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald Reichman
Reg. No. 26,796
Attorney of Record
Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC.
Intellectual Property and
Technology Law Department
35 Waterview Drive
P.O. Box 3000
Shelton, CT 06484-8000